Oral health Attitude Knowledge Behavior and Consent towards Dental treatment among School children

Dr. Aditi Mathur*, Dr. Tripti Gupta**

Abstract:

This study aims at determining the oral health awareness level, attitude, knowledge, behavior, pattern of dental health practice and consent towards their dental treatment as well as to evaluate the factors that determine these variables and their association with socioeconomic status. School children of age 8-15 years were recruited for this study. They are divided into two groups: high socioeconomic and low socioeconomic status. The subjects completed a questionnaire that aimed to evaluate school children's behavior, knowledge, attitude, perception of their oral health and consent towards their dental treatment.

15.9% subjects found to brush their teeth twice in a day among high socioeconomic group and 9.9% among low socioeconomic. Among 22.5% of high socioeconomic and 8.6% of low socioeconomic group pain is the driving factor for dental visit. 13.2% and 11.3% of high and low socioeconomic class wants that they should be involved in decision making process about their treatment.

The result of this study indicate that children and parents attitude ,awareness toward oral health need to be improved irrespective of socioeconomic status of family but special attention must be given to low socioeconomic class. Comprehensive oral health education programs are required to achieve these goals.

Key Words: Oral health attitude; behavior; knowledge; consent.

Introduction:

Childhood is the period of greatest change in life. It is widely recognized that good oral health practices are necessary from a young age to ensure positive long term dental health and hygiene. The oral health of children is important towards their overall well being. The past fifty years have witnessed a reduction in the severity and prevalence of oral disease among the population of developed countries. Dental care has been systematically organized to improve dental health attitudes among children and the young.

Dental caries occurs as a result of complex interplay of social, cultural, behavioral dietary & biological risk factor⁵. Too frequent consumption of food stuffs containing sticky and sugar material and lack of fluoride are the two main factors for the development of dental caries⁶. Dental caries, the most common childhood disease is preventable with a judicious preventive regimen including fluorides.⁷ The level of

*Post Graduate student, Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Dr. R. Ahmed Dental College, Kolkata

** B.D.S, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Darshan Dental College & Hospital, Udaipur

Correspondence:

Post Graduate student,
Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry
Email Id: drmathuradi@gmail.com

caries differed between fluoridated and non-fluoridated areas.^{8,9}

Children whose parents afford them personal authority in decision making about family and personal matters may be better prepared to take part in medical decision than children from sheltered family. ¹⁰ Basic behavior change theory such as the social learning theory ¹¹ and the health belief model ¹² suggests that changes may be brought about using a concerted approach in individual interactions.

This study aims at determining the oral health awareness level, attitude, knowledge, and behavior, the pattern of dental health practice and consent to their dental treatment and their relationship with the socioeconomic status of the family among 8-15 year old school children in Udaipur city Rajasthan.

Material and Methods:

This research was conducted in Udaipur city between the time periods of November 2008 to January 2009. For a fair sample selection city was divided into north, south, east and west, according to the geographic location. The sample includes both male and female and consists of 302 subjects with an age range of 8 -15 years. The subjects comprising the population of the study were recruited from randomly selected eight schools, four private public school and four government schools. Children were divided into two group's High

socioeconomic group (Class 1) and low socioeconomic group (Class 2) on the basis of ministry of health and welfare Government of India.

Approval from the school authority was obtained explaining the purpose of the study and the procedures that would be followed during its conduct. Consent forms were distributed to school children for an approval by their parents. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by ethical committee of Darshan Dental College and Hospital.

For conducting the study an interview schedule was designed and a total of 324 students of class sixth to class ninth were invited. Children whose consent forms were not obtained and whose Performa was not properly filled were excluded from study and thus the sample consisting of 302 students.

A pre-tested questionnaire was used for data collection. Two trained doctors were available during the completion of questionnaire and participants were encouraged to approach them whenever they needed clarification of any point. The results were entered on the spreadsheets and statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version11.0.

Results:

Table I: Oral hygiene habits among the study population (n=302)

	Class 1	Class 2	Total		
Brushing frequency	N(%)	N(%)	N(%)		
Less than once per day	0	4(1.3)	4(1.3)	Chi ² =3. 99 P=*	
Once per day	98(32.5%)	118(39.1)	216(71.5)		
Twice per day	48(15.9)	30(9.9)	78(25.8)		
More than twice per day	4(1.3)	0	4(1.3)		
Oral hygiene method used					
Paste + toothbrush	130(43)	136(45)	266(88.1)	Chi ² =5.12 P=*	
Dentalfloss	2(0.7)	2(0.7)	4(1.3)		
Mouthwash	12(4)	0	12(4)		
Toothpicks	4(1.3)	0	4(1.3)		
Other	2(0.7)	14(4.6)	16(5.3)		
Role of parents in supervision of oral hygiene					
Watch while brushing teeth	28(9.3)	40(13.2)	68(22.5)	Chi ² =6.	
Do not but advice	82(27.2)	14(4.6)	96(31.8)	P=*	
Never cared	26(8.6)	76(25.2)	102(33.8)		
Only my mother watches me	14(4.6)	22(7.3)	36(11.9)		

^{*&}lt; 0.05(S)

Table 1 reveals that approximately 39% of study sample brushes their teeth once a day among the low socioeconomic group and 32% among high socioeconomic group.

About 43% and 45% of subjects from high socioeconomic group and low socioeconomic group respectively found to use tooth brush and paste for cleaning teeth. 0.7% of high socioeconomic class and 4.6% of low socioeconomic class were found to use other materials for cleaning their teeth.

Table II: Awareness of periodontal and gingival health among the study population.

	Class1	Class2	Tota	ı I			
Gingival bleeding means	N(%)	N(%)		N(%)			
Gingivitis	108(35.8)	4(1.3)	112(37.1)			Chi ² =7.09 P=*	
Healthy gingival	12(4.0)	30(9.9)	42(1	42(13.9)			
Gingival recession	20(6.6)	6(2)	26(8	26(8.6)		r='	
Don't know	10(3.3)	112(37.1)	122(122(40.4)			
What does pla que means							
Soft deposits on teeth	78(25.8)	4(1.3)	82(27.2)			Chi ² =8.87 P=*	
Heavy deposits on teeth	42(13.9)	18(6)	60(19.)		-		
Tooth discoloration	22(7.3)	10(3.3)	32(10.6)				
Don't know	8(2.6)	120(39.7)	128(42.4)				
How to prevent gingivitis							
Brushing and flossing	82(27.2)	14(4.6)		96(31	1.8)		
Soft food	38(12.6)	34(11.3)		72(23	23.8) Chi ² =4.1		
Vitamin C	22(7.3)	28(9.3)		50(16	1.		
Don't know	8(2.6)	76(25.2)		84(27	7.8)		

^{*&}lt;0.05(S)

Table II shows that 37.1% of the low socioeconomic groups do not know about bleeding gums while in high socioeconomic group only 1.3% of subjects did not know about bleeding gum. when subjects were asked about the link between dental plaque on one hand and gingivitis, caries and tooth discoloration on the other 25.8% of the high socioeconomic class subjects said that it can lead to inflammation while 1.3% of low socioeconomic class answered same 13.9% of high socioeconomic class and 6% of low socioeconomic class subjects said that plaque can lead to staining.

Table III: Knowledge and awareness of dental and general health among the study population.

	Class 1	Class 2	Total			
Does caries affect	N(%)	N(%)	N(%)			
dental aesthetics						
Yes	90(29.8)	16(5.3)	106(35.1)	$Chi^2 = 44.04$		
No	48(15.9)	54(17.9)	102(33.8)	P=*		
Don't know	12(4%)	82(27.2)	94(31.1)	•		
Do sweet affect den	tal health			•		
Yes	130(43)	44(14.6)	174(57.6)	Chi2=05.6		
No	12(4)	36(11.9)	48(15.9)	P=*		
Don't know	8(2.6)	72(23.8)	80(26.5)			
Do soft drinks affec	t dental health	•				
Yes	118(39.1)	18(6)	136(45)	Chi ² =50.9 P=*		
No	22(7.3)	32(10.6)	54(17.)	r="		
Don't know	10(3.3)	102(33.8)	112(37.1)			
Does the health of r	Does the health of mouth and dentition impact health of body					
Yes	114(37.1)	14(4.6)	128(42.4)	Chi2=54.14		
No	24(7.9)	32(10.6)	56(18.5)	P=*		
Don't know	12(4)	106(35.1)	118(39.1)			
Treatment of tooth ache is as important vas any organ in the body						
Yes	112(37.)	18(6)	130(43)	Chi2=33.39		
No	28(9.3)	48(15.9)	76(25.2)	P=*		
Don't know	10(3.3)	86(25.2)	96(31.8)			

^{* 0.000(}HS)

Table III reveals that majority of the study sample from high socioeconomic group reported that dentist did provide proper care (36.4%) and explain dental procedures (35.8%) while among low socioeconomic group majority of subjects do not know about mentioned questions. When subjects were asked does dental caries affect aesthetics 29.8% from high socioeconomic class replied yes and 5.3% from low socioeconomic group .While 27.2% of subjects from same group does not know about it.

$\label{thm:professional dental} Table IV: Attitude towards professional dental care among study population (n=302)$

Table IV reveals that approximately 22.5% subjects from high socioeconomic class and 9.3% from low socioeconomic class regularly visits the dentist while 37% and 4% from high and low socioeconomic class respectively were aware of importance of regular visit. About 0.77% and 4.6% of high and low socioeconomic class never visited dentist. Most common cause of not visiting the dentist on a regular basis or reason for disliking to visit the dentist was fear.

Questions	Class 1	Class 2		Total
How often		Class 2		10141
you visit the dentist?	N(%)	N(%)	N(%)	
Regularly every 6-12	68(22.5)	28(9.3)	96(31.8)	Chi ² =0.52 P=*
months Occasiona lly	40(13.2)	58(19.2)	98(32.5)	
When have	40(13.2)	52(17.2)	92(32.5)	
dental pain				
Never visited dentist	2(0.7)	14(4.6)	16(5.3)	
Regular vis necessary	it to dentist			
Yes	112(37.1)	12(4)	124(41.1)	Chi ² =63.2
No	26(8.6)	26(8.6)	52(17.2)	P=*
Don't know	12(4) gfactorform	114(37.7)	126(41.7)	
last visit to		У		
Dental pain	68(22.5)	26(8.6)	94(31.1)	Chi ² =5.04 P=*
Family and friend advice	44(14.6)	20(6.6%)	64(21.2)	
A dentist	28(9.3)	96(31.8)	124(41.1)	
Another	10(3.3)	10(3.3)	20(6.6)	
reason	amant a ayah t	in last visit		ļ
Check	ement sought 22(7.3)	16(5.3)	38(12.6)	Chi ² =3.97
teeth Have	40(13.2)	42(13.9)	82(27.2)	P=0.016(NS)
scaling Have	62(20.5)	42(13.9)	104(34.4)	
Have	18(6)	40(13.2)	58(19.2)	
tooth extraction Other	4(1.3)	6(2)	10(3.3)	
	lains procedu	re before trea	tment	
Yes	108(35.8)	56(18.5)	164(54.3)	Chi ² =5.15
No	34(11.3)	20(6.6)	54(17.9)	P=*
Don't know	14(4.6)	92(30.5)	106(35.1)	
	es properly ab			Chi ² =2.47
Yes No	110(36.4) 32(10.6)	12(4) 48(15.9)	122(40.4) 80(26.5)	Ch1 ² =2.4 / P=*
Don't know	8(2.6)	92(30.5)	100(33.1)	F-'
	ind not visiti			İ
Fear of drill	84(27.8)	44(14.6)	128(42.4)	Chi ² =1.82 P=*
Fear of needle	60(19.9)	36(11.9)	96(31.8)	
High cost No clinic nearby	4(1.3)	44(14.6) 28(9.3)	48(15.9) 28(9.3)	
No time	2(0.7)	_	2(0.7)	ŀ
	es about treati	ment but not		•
Yes	100(33.1)	10(3.3)	110(36.4)	Chi ² =3.30
No	36(11.9)	50(1.6)	86(28.5)	P=*
Don't	14(4.6)	92(30.5)	106(35.1)	
* 0.000/LIC			<u> </u>	

^{*0.000(}HS)

Table V: Children informed consent to dental care according to socioeconomic status.

Table V shows that in 25.8% of the subjects belonging to high socioeconomic class reported that dentist, parents and child must decide about the treatment plan where else 6% among low socioeconomic class reported the same respectively. It was only about 1.3% where decision was taken by both parents and children in high and 5.3% in low socioeconomic class.

	Class 1	Class 2	Total
Who decided about your treatment?	N(%)	N(%)	N(%)
Dentist	10(3.3)	62(20.5)	72(23.8)
Dentist and parents	58(19.2)	56(18.5)	114(37.7)
Dentist, parents and child	78(25.8)	18(6)	96(31.8)
Parents and child	4(1.3)	16(5.3)	20(6.6)
Who should decide about your treatment?	•		
Dentist	6(2)	54(17.9)	60(19.9)
Dentist and parents	52 ((17.2)	18(6)	70(23.2)
Dentist, parents and child	40(13.2)	34(11.3)	74(24.5)
Parents and child	52(17.2)	46(15.2)	98(32.5)

When asked who should decide about your treatment 17.2% from high socioeconomic class and 15.2% from low socioeconomic class answered in favor of parents and child where else 17.9% from low socioeconomic class replied for dentist to take decision for their treatment.

Discussion:

This study presented a comprehensive overview of the oral health behavior, knowledge, attitude and consent towards their dental treatment among school children of Udaipur, India.

Previous studies on Jordanian school children showed that oral hygiene, gingival conditions and dental caries have improve since the early 1990s although gingival disease and dental caries among Jordanian were found to be more prevalent than in developed countries. ^{13,14}

This study found that a high percentage of the study population brush their teeth once in a day and

number of children among high socioeconomic class found to brush their teeth twice a day when compared to low socioeconomic class children. The results can be compared with a study of Chinese school children where 22% of the twelve year old group brushed at least twice a day, 62% brushed once a day and 16% never brushed or brushed less frequently¹⁵.

Majority of study population used toothbrush and paste. The use of other recommended oral hygiene method such as dental floss and mouthwash was found to be rare. These findings are found to be same when compared with North Jordanian school children. ¹⁶ This could be attributed to the lack of oral health education and /or the cost of such aids.

Walsh ¹⁷ in a study of twelve and fourteen year old students in San Francisco, reported that about 96% of the respondents used the tooth brush at least once a day and 75% claimed to use the dental floss at least once a day where else in the present study only 1.3% of the individual use floss once a day this could be justified because of lack of awareness towards oral hygiene in the developing countries like India.

Subjects from high socioeconomic group reported that bleeding gums means gingivitis which can lead to inflammation and can be prevented by brushing and flossing. This shows awareness regarding gingival bleeding as an indicator of periodontal disease, a finding that analogues with the results of a study among 12 to 14 year old Jordanian children¹⁸. Majority of the study population from low socioeconomic group answered that they do not know about it this shows poor knowledge of low class children regarding periodontal conditions.

Most of the study subjects reported irregular dental attendance and this finding is consistent with the findings on Jordanian adults and children^{4,5}. The subjects from high socioeconomic group were aware of the importance of regular dental attendance. This can be explained by this that majority of the study sample not visit the dentist due to fear of drill and needle.

Regarding consent majority of the subjects wanted to be involved in the decision making process. These could be explained by the fact that children try to achieve independence and start attempts to build their identity without family interference. This may be due to the recent changes in the society as the schools are making children independent and take decision on their own.

References:

- 1. Downer MC. The improving oral health of United Kingdom adults and prospects for future. British Dental Journal 1991; 23-154-8.
- 2. Burt BA. Trends in caries prevalence in North American children. Int Dent J 1994; 44:403-13.
- 3. Marthaler T, O'Mullane DM, Vbric V. The prevalence of dental caries in Europe 1990–1995. Caries Res 1996:39:237-55.
- 4. Holst D, Schuller A, Grytten J. Future treatment needs in children, adults and the elderly. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1997; 25:113-8.
- 5. Ismail Al, Tanzer JM, Dingle JL. Current trends of sugar consumption in developing countries Community dent oral Epidemiol 1997; 25:438-443.
- Arens, U. British Nutrition Foundation Task Force Report. Oral Health: Diet and other Factors. Elsevier, Oxford 1999.
- 7. Fluoride knowledge and prescription practices among dentists, J Dent Educ. 2006 70(9): 956-964
- 8. Friel S,Hope A,Kelleher C,Comer S.Sadlier. Impact evaluation of an oral health intervention amongst primary school children in Ireland. Health Promotion International 2002; 17: 119-126.
- 9. Gift HC, Hoerman KC. Attitudes of dentists and physicians toward the use of dietary fluoride supplements. J Dent Child 1985;52:265–268.
- Adewumi A, Hector .M.P. and. King. J.M. Children and informed consent: a study of children's perceptions and involvement in consent to dental treatment. British Dental Journal 2001; 191:256-259
- Bandura, A. Social Learning Theory. Englewood Cliffs. NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1977 p.247
- 12. Becker, M. H. The Health Belief Model and sick role behavior. In Becker, M. H. (ed.), The Health Belief Model and Personal Health Behavior. Charles B. Slack, Thorofare, NJ: 1974 p. 82-92.
- 13. Taani DQ. Periodontal awareness and knowledge and pattern of dental attendance among adults in Jordan. Int Dent J 2002; 52:94–8.
- 14. Taani DQ. Dental attendance and anxiety among public and private school children in Jordan. Int Dent J 2002;52:25–9.
- Peterson PE, Esheng Z. Dental caries and oral health behavior situation of children and school children in Wuham, Peoples Republic of china. Int Dent J 1998; 48:210-216.
- Oral health Attitudes, knowledge and behavior Among school children in North Jordan. J Dent Educ 2006: 70:179-187.
- Walsh MM. Effect of school based dental health education on knowledge attitudes and behavior of adolescents in San Francisco. Community Dent oral

- Epidemiol 1985; 13: 143-147.
- 18. Taani DQ, Alhaija ES. Self assessed bleeding as an indicator of gingival health among 12-14 year old children. J oral Rehabil 2003; 30: 78-81.