Superiority of mosapride citrate to picosulfate sodium as a laxative for withdrawal from regular enemas in children with severe functional constipation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Severe functional constipation (FC) with low bowel movement frequency (BMF) of ≤1 day/week and hard stools often requires regularly repeated enemas or often leads to enema dependency (ED). Aim: The current study aimed to compare the efficacy of mosapride citrate (Mo) with the traditional stimulant laxative picosulfate sodium (Pi) for withdrawal from ED in children with severe FC. Results: Twenty-four treatment-naïve patients who met the Rome IV diagnostic criteria for FC seen at our center for 8 years from 2012 were enrolled. Glycerin enema was repeated until the BMF was ≥3.5 days/week. Simultaneously, Mo at 0.3 mg/kg/day (n=11) or Pi at 0.25 mg/kg/day (n=13) was administered concomitantly with magnesium oxide or lactulose. The proportion of withdrawal from ED was significantly higher in the Mo group than Pi group during the 4 months observational period (90.9% vs. 46.2%, respectively; p=0.034) and shorter in time to withdraw from ED (0 vs. 3.5 months, respectively; p=0.015). Conclusion: Mo is more effective than Pi for withdrawal from ED in children with severe FC.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

This observational study was conducted at our center, starting with patients who visited in 8 years (2012–2020). A flow diagram of study population selection is shown in Fig. 1 [14]. Disimpaction was performed using a 50% glycerin enema (GE) at a dose of 3 mL/kg in all participants if fecal impaction in the anus was confirmed on the first visit. Additional GEs at the same dose were repeated on alternative days until bowel movement frequency (BMF) achieved >3.5 days per week. The patients in the Mo group received Mo at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg/day.
throughout the treatment period [9,10], while the patients in the Pi group received Pi at an initial dose of 0.25 mg/kg/day from the 3rd day after the first visit. This is because Pi may cause abdominal pain due to forced hyperperistalsis in patients with fecal impaction. The administered dose and interval of Pi were titrated according to the BMF [4]. In addition, all participants in both groups received daily administration of either magnesium oxide (Mg) (0.06 g/kg/day) or lactulose (1.0 g/kg/day) throughout the treatment period. The doses of these additional drugs were also titrated according to age, weight, and symptoms. After a 4-month treatment period, the background information such as sex, age, BMF, Bristol Stool Form Scale (BSFS), ED, withdrawal from ED (WE), and treatment period were extracted from the patients’ medical records and compared between the Mo and the Pi group.

The following definition of terms was used. ED: >50% of defecations induced by GEs during the most recent 4-week period. Assessments related to therapeutic efficacy were defined as follows [2,4,9,10,15]; BMF: The number of days per week on which defecation was achieved without a GE; treatment success (TS): BMF of ≥3 and BSFS of ≥3 without meeting the Rome diagnostic criteria for pediatric FC during 4 weeks; WE: Maintenance of TS without GEs; the time to WE: The number of days between the initial and final GE; and failure of WE: Failure to achieve TS despite 4 months of GE treatment.

Categorical data and numerical data were compared between the two groups using Fisher’s exact test and the Mann–Whitney U-test, respectively. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. EZR software (version 1.33; https://do.org/10.1038/bmt.2012.244) and G*Power software (version 3.1.9.7; https://www.psychologie.hhu.de/arbeitsgruppen/allgemeine-psychologie-und-arbeitspsychologie/gpower.html) were used for analyses.

## RESULTS

The Mo group comprised 11 patients, and the Pi group comprised 13 patients. All patients met the definition of ED and controlled their defecation at their own discretion using GEs available over the counter until they received specialized treatment for constipation at our center. The background information of the two groups is shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in background features between the Mo group and Pi group.

In contrast, the proportion of patients who achieved WE within the 4-month observational period was significantly higher in the Mo group (10/11 patients: 90.9%) than the Pi group (6/13 patients: 46.2%) (p=0.034). After excluding the patients who failed to achieve WE, per-protocol analysis was performed among the patients who achieved WE (Mo group: 10 patients; Pi group: 6 patients). Table 2 represents a comparison of patients who achieved WE dependency within 4 months of treatment with either Mo or Pi.

All 24 participants, including the patients who failed to achieve WE and interchanged Mo and Pi, finally achieved TS in 36 months. No adverse events, including abdominal pain, were observed in either group. Blood tests were performed in 4 (30.8%) patients in the Pi group and 11 (100%) patients in the Mo group, and no abnormalities were found.

## DISCUSSION

Although FC is common in childhood, treatment strategies including GEs and the choice of laxatives are not yet established in Japan. In particular, patients with severe FC (defined as BMF of ≤1 day/week) often require regular repeated GEs to evacuate their bowels. Because the distress induced by regular enemas compromises patients’ quality of life [8], WE with the use of
appropriate laxatives is desirable. Mo was recently added to the list of drugs for the treatment of children with severe FC in Japan; therefore, this study was conducted to elucidate the efficacy of Mo for WE in comparison with Pi. As a result, the study showed that Mo was superior to Pi to achieve WE in children with severe FC. The reason why Pi was inferior to Mo is the mechanism of action in vivo: Pi requires transformation by the gut microbiota to be in its active form which acts on and stimulates the colonic mucosa, thereby enhancing acetylcholine release from the nerve fibers [16]. In contrast, Mo is gut microbiota independent [17] and enhances peristalsis by acting directly on the enteric plexus for the release of acetylcholine [18,19]. Gomes and de Morais [20] and de Meij et al. [21] showed that the gut microbiota of pediatric patients with FC differs from that of normal children. Therefore, dysbiosis of the gut microbiota in some patients with FC may result in the inability of Pi to transform into its active form, leading to inferior results. Importantly, our results revealed a difference in efficacy between the pharmacological actions of Pi and Mo because this study excluded the influence of probiotics.

However, the number of patients used in this study was too small to draw a robust conclusion. A post hoc analysis showed that the power (1-β) to detect the differences of the proportions of WE and the time to WE were close to significant level of 0.8 (0.786 and 0.757, respectively). In addition, the choice of the additional OL was not randomized, and either Mg or lactulose was administered depending on the patients’ preferences. However, we do believe that this did not have strong impact on the present results, considering that there is reportedly no significant difference in the efficacy between Mg and lactulose [4]. Furthermore, the proportion of patients receiving either Mg or lactulose was not different between the Mo and the Pi groups in this study. Furthermore, the patients were allowed to choose either Mo or Pi for their treatment. Although there were no significant differences in the patients’ background features between the two groups, we cannot completely rule out the possibility that some bias may exist because this study is not a randomized controlled trial. Patients treated with PEG were excluded from the study because PEG was not available in Japan during the start of the study. Mingues et al. [22] and Dziechciarz et al. [23] showed that PEG monotherapy is adequate for disimpaction in children with FC, although it is inferior to enemas [23]. In fact, a procedure similar to enema-free disimpaction has been performed in adults undergoing colonoscopy preparation [24]. Therefore, a future study can be planned to test the efficacy of oral administration of Mo with PEG to achieve enema-free disimpaction. In addition, this study helps to establish new strategies for disimpaction in children with severe FC.

CONCLUSION

Mo with OL is recommended for the achievement of WE in children with severe FC. A new clinical trial is needed to study the usefulness of PEG with Mo as an enema-free treatment strategy for disimpaction in children with severe FC.
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